

Numerical fracture experiments using nonlinear nonlocal models



Prashant K. Jha

Department of Mathematics Louisiana State University

Joint work with **Dr. Robert Lipton**



Overview of the talk

- Nonlinear peridynamic model
- Well-posedness of nonlocal model
- Numerical results
- Ongoing and future work



Nonlinear peridynamic model

Let ϵ is the horizon, $B_{\epsilon}(x)$ ball of radius ϵ , and u(x) displacement of material point $x \in D$. In this work, we consider linearized pairwise strain S(y, x; u) given by

$$S(y, x; u) = rac{u(y) - u(x)}{|y - x|} \cdot rac{y - x}{|y - x|}$$

Suppose $\hat{f}^{\epsilon}(y, x)$ denotes the force applied on x from the neighboring point y. Then total force at x is given by

$$oldsymbol{f}^{\epsilon}(oldsymbol{x}) = \int_{B_{\epsilon}(oldsymbol{x})} \hat{oldsymbol{f}}^{\epsilon}(oldsymbol{y},oldsymbol{x}) doldsymbol{y}$$

We consider pairwise force based on smooth and concave potential function $\psi^{\rm 1,2}$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}^{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon |B_{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{0})|} \frac{\partial_{S} \psi(|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}|S(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x})^{2})}{|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}|} \frac{\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}}{|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}|}$$



[1] R. Lipton (2014) Dynamic brittle fracture as a small horizon limit of peridynamics. Journal of Elasticity, 117(1) 21-50.

[2] R. Lipton (2016) Cohesive dynamics and brittle fracture. Journal of Elasticity, 124(2), pp.143-191.



Equation of motion

2

Equation of motion

 $\rho \ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \boldsymbol{f}^{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{x};\boldsymbol{u}(t)) + \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x},t), \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in D, t \in [0,T]$

Boundary condition

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in D_u, t \in [0,T]$$

$$\boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \boldsymbol{f}_{ext}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \qquad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in D_f, t \in [0,T]$$

 $D_u, D_f \subset D$ are layer with finite volume (area in 2-d) on which displacement and external force, respectively, are specified. External force is applied in the form of body force.

Initial condition: $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},0) = \boldsymbol{u}_0(\boldsymbol{x}), \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x},0) = \boldsymbol{v}_0(\boldsymbol{x})$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in D$.



Austin

Well-posedness of nonlinear peridynamic model

- Using the fact that nonlinear peridynamic force is bounded and Lipschitz continuous with respect to displacement field $u \in L^2_0(D)$, the existence of solutions over any finite time domain [0,T] is shown [1].
- To prove existence of solutions in more regular spaces, we introduce boundary function ω into Peridynamic force. $\omega(x) = 1$ in the interior and smoothly decays to 0 as x approaches boundary ∂D .
- To perform apriori error analysis of finite difference approximation, we consider Hölder space $C_0^{0,\gamma}(D)$, $\gamma \in (0,1]$. In [2] we show existence of solutions in Hölder space $C_0^{0,\gamma}(D)$. In [3] we extend the results to state-based peridynamic models.
- For apriori error analysis of finite element approximation using continuous piecewise linear elements, we consider natural space $H^2(D) \cap H^1_0(D)$. In [4] we show existence of solutions in $H^2(D) \cap H^1_0(D)$.

^[1] R. Lipton (2016) Cohesive dynamics and brittle fracture. Journal of Elasticity, 124(2), pp.143-191.

^[2] P.K. Jha and R. Lipton (2018) Numerical analysis of nonlocal fracture models in Holder space. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 56(2), pp.906-941.

^[3] P.K. Jha and R. Lipton (2019) Numerical convergence of finite difference approximations for state based peridynamic fracture models. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 351(1), 184 – 225.

^[4] P.K. Jha and R. Lipton (2018) Finite element approximation of nonlocal fracture models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.07661. **Under review** in Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series B.



Finite difference approximation

We approximate peridynamic equation using piecewise constant interpolation and central in time discretization. Let u_i^k denote the discrete displacement at mesh node x_i and time $t^k = k\Delta t$. We consider following piecewise constant function

$$oldsymbol{u}_h^k(oldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i,oldsymbol{x}_i \in D}oldsymbol{u}_i^k \chi_{U_i}(oldsymbol{x})$$

Discrete problem is

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{u}_h^{k+1} - 2\boldsymbol{u}_h^k + \boldsymbol{u}_h^{k-1}}{\Delta t^2} = \boldsymbol{f}_h^{\epsilon}(t^k) + \boldsymbol{b}_h^k,$$

where

$$oldsymbol{f}_h^\epsilon(oldsymbol{x},t^k) = \sum_{i,oldsymbol{x}_i \in D} oldsymbol{f}^\epsilon(oldsymbol{x}_i,t^k) \chi_{U_i}(oldsymbol{x}),$$
 $oldsymbol{b}_h(oldsymbol{x},t^k) = \sum_{i,oldsymbol{x}_i \in D} oldsymbol{b}(oldsymbol{x}_i,t^k) \chi_{U_i}(oldsymbol{x})$





Convergence of finite difference approximation

Error at time step k is defined as: $E^k = ||\boldsymbol{u}_h^k - \boldsymbol{u}(t^k)||.$

Theorem 1. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed. Let (u, v) be the solution of peridynamic equation. We assume $u, v \in C^2([0, T]; C^{0,\gamma}(D; \mathbb{R}^d))$. Then the finite difference scheme is consistent in both time and spatial discretization and converges to the exact solution uniformly in time with respect to the L^2 norm. If we assume the error at the initial step is zero then the error E^k at time t^k is bounded and satisfies

$$\sup_{0 \le k \le T/\Delta t} E^k \le O\left(C_t \Delta t + C_s \frac{h^{\gamma}}{\epsilon^2}\right),$$

where constant C_s and C_t are independent of h and Δt . Constants C_t, C_s depend on the ϵ and Hölder norm of the exact solution.

 ^[1] P.K. Jha and R. Lipton (2018) Numerical analysis of nonlocal fracture models in Holder space. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 56(2), pp.906-941.
 [2] P.K. Jha and R. Lipton (2019) Numerical convergence of finite difference approximations for state based peridynamic fracture models. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 351(1), 184 – 225.



Setting up peridynamic model

- Pairwise potential: $\psi(r) = c(1 \exp[-\beta r^2])$
- Influence function: J(r) = 1 r for $0 \le r < 1$ and J(r) = 0 for $r \ge 1$
- Critical strain: $S_c(y, x) = \frac{\pm \bar{r}}{\sqrt{|y x|}}$, where \bar{r} is the inflection point of function ψ
- We fix $\rho = 1200$ kg/m³, bulk modulus K = 3.24 GPa, critical energy release rate $G_c = 500$ J/m⁻²
- Using relation between nonlinear peridynamic model and linear elastic fracture mechanics¹, we find

$$c = 4712.4, \qquad \beta = 1.7533 \times 10^8, \qquad \bar{r} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\beta}} = 5.3402 \times 10^{-5}$$



• Mode I crack propagation: Setup

<u>Goal</u>: Localization of crack and convergence to classical fracture mechanics for simple mode-I crack propagation¹

- Final time $T = 560 \,\mu \text{s}$, time step $\Delta t = 0.02 \,\mu \text{s}$
- Uniform grid on square domain $D = [0, 0.1 \text{ m}] \times [-0.15 \text{ m}, 0.15 \text{ m}]$
- Experiment with three different horizons $\epsilon = 2.5, 1.25, 0.625$ mm
- Body force $\boldsymbol{b}^{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = (0, f_0 h(t)/\epsilon)$ on top layer and $\boldsymbol{b}^{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = (0, -f_0 h(t)/\epsilon)$ on bottom layer
- h(t) is a step function such that h(t) = t for $t \le 350 \,\mu\text{s}$ and h(t) = 1 for $t > 350 \,\mu\text{s}$
- Mesh size is fixed by relation $h = \epsilon/4$



^[1] R. Lipton & P.K. Jha (2019). The relation of nonlocal cohesive models to classic dynamic fracture models: The single edge notch in tension. *In preparation*.

Austin July 30, 2019

8

Localization of fracture zone

 $t = 460\,\mu\mathrm{S}$





Localization of fracture zone $t=520\,\mu{\rm S}$

9



Crack tip location and velocity







Energy into crack

The energy associated to crack is given by 1

$$E(\Gamma_{\delta}(t)) = \frac{1}{|B_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{0})|} \int_{P_{\delta}^{c}(t)} \int_{P_{\delta}(t) \cap B_{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{x})} \partial_{S} W(S(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{u}(t))) \frac{\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}}{|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}|} \cdot (\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) + \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{y}, t)) d\boldsymbol{y} d\boldsymbol{x}.$$

Here W is the peridynamic pairwise energy density. $P_{\delta}(t)$ is the rectangle domain with crack tip at its center. It is moving with tip. $P_{\delta}^{c}(t)$ is the complement of $P_{\delta}(t)$.



[1] R. Lipton & P.K. Jha (2019). The relation of nonlocal cohesive models to classic dynamic fracture models: The single edge notch in tension. *In preparation*.



Energy into crack







Material properties are same as in the Mode-I problem. We set

- Horizon $\epsilon = 0.5 \text{ mm}$
- Mesh size h = 0.125 mm
- Final time $T = 140 \,\mu \text{s}$
- Time step size $\Delta t = 0.004 \, \mu {
 m s}$





(b) Damage profile







(e) Experiment result [2]

(c) $oldsymbol{u}_x$ plot

(d) $oldsymbol{u}_y$ plot

[1] R. Lipton, R. Lehoucq, & P.K. Jha (2019) Complex fracture nucleation and evolution with nonlocal elastodynamics. Journal of Peridynamics and Nonlocal Modeling. April 2019.

[2] M. R. Ayatollahi & M. R. M. Aliha (2009). Analysis of a new specimen for mixed mode fracture tests on brittle materials. Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 76(11), 1563-1573.

[3] E. Madenci et al (2018). A state-based peridynamic analysis in a finite element framework. Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 195, pp.104-128.





Material properties are same as in the Mode-I problem. We set

- Horizon $\epsilon=0.4~{\rm mm}$
- Mesh size h = 0.1 mm
- Final time $T = 800 \, \mu s$
- Time step size $\Delta t = 0.004\,\mu{
 m s}$



(b) Damage profile



(c) Magnitude of symmetric gradient of displacement





(d) Numerical experiment results using FEM, Boundary element method [2]

[1] P.K. Jha, P. Diehl & R. Lipton. Nodal finite element approximation of nonlocal fracture models. *In preparation*.

[2] S. Dai,C. Augarde, C. Du & D. Chen (2015). A fully automatic polygon scaled boundary finite element method for modelling crack propagation. Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 133, 163-178.



Wave reflection effect on crack velocity

We consider a softer material with shear modulus G = 35.2 kPa, density $\rho = 1011$ kg/m³, and critical energy release rate $G_c = 20$ J/m⁻². Poisson ratio is fixed to $\mu = 0.25$. Domain is $D = [0, 0.12 \text{ m}] \times [0, 0.03 \text{ m}]$.

- Horizon $\epsilon=0.6$ mm, mesh size h=0.15 mm
- Time $T=1.1~{\rm s},\,\Delta t=2.2\,\mu{\rm s}$





Wave reflection effect on crack velocity

- Max crack length = 0.12 m
- Rayleigh wave speed $c_R = 5.502$ m/s





Ongoing and future works

- In [1] we show that the classical kinetic relation is embedded in peridynamics and we have $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} J(t) = G_c$, where J(t) is the nonlocal J-integral. In LEFM, the classical kinetic relation for the crack velocity is postulated. In contrast, we obtain the classical kinetic relation from the Peridynamics in the limit of vanishing nonlocality.
- Open source computational library for nonlocal modeling. This is a joint work with Patrick Diehl (LSU) and Robert Lipton (LSU).
- Study of granular material using nonlinear nonlocal model.

^[1] R. Lipton & P.K. Jha (2019). The relation of nonlocal cohesive models to classic dynamic fracture models: The single edge notch in tension. *In preparation*.



